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Abstract. Defoliation by insects can reduce tree growth, increase mortality, and increase herbivory of neighboring plants. In North Carolina, U.S., fall cankerworms (Alsophila pometaria) and spring cankerworms (Paleacrita vernata) are important early-season defoliators and have become more common in recent years. Female fall and spring cankerworm adults are wingless and climb tree trunks to mate and deposit egg masses. Therefore, sticky bands made by wrapping paper bands around trees and covering them with Tangle-foot™ can intercept female moths as they climb, preventing oviposition and reducing subsequent larval abundance and defoliation. The authors hypothesize that sticky bands reduce cankerworm larvae and defoliation in the canopy when compared to unbanded trees. To test this hypothesis, cankerworm abundance and defoliation were measured on willow oaks with zero, one, and two bands. It was found that trees with two bands captured an average of 38.69% more moths than single banded trees. As a consequence, two-band trees had the least larvae in the canopy. It was found that larval abundance, sampled early in the season with trays of soapy water, was correlated with canopy defoliation at the end of the season. However, tree bands did not affect total canopy defoliation. Although many cities use sticky bands as part of cankerworm management, the authors did not find evidence for their efficacy when defoliation is low.
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silk strands (Johnson and Lyon 1991). Hundreds or thousands of dangling larvae become a nuisance to pedestrians (Hiratsuka et al. 1995; Eirich 2008; Lyttle 2012). They also land on and damage neighboring trees and plants growing beneath host trees (White and Whitham 2000). In many cities, cankerworms have become frequent or even annual pests, which causes concern for urban tree health.

Two methods are available to manage caterpillar pests and reduce defoliation. The foliage of infested trees can be sprayed with an insecticide, such as Bacillus thuringiensis kurstaki, to kill larvae. However, applying insecticide to large trees in densely populated areas is difficult, expensive, and has consequences for non-target organisms and the environment (Cole et al. 1967; Miller 1990; Tobin et al. 2012). Another method, tree banding, has been used to manage cankerworms (Britton 1900; La France and Westwood 2006; Eirich 2008) and other lepidopteran pests, such as gypsy moths (Collins and Hood 1920; Blumenthal and Hoover 1986) and winter moths (Otvos and Hunt 1986) that climb tree trunks as larvae or adults. Tree banding entails wrapping a weatherproof material, such as plastic tape, in a narrow band around a tree trunk and then covering the band with a sticky substance to trap flightless female moths before they reach the tree crown to deposit egg masses (Britton 1900). Sticky bands have been made of many materials, including roofing paper, plastic wrap, and duct tape. Generally, cotton batting, fiberglass insulation, or a similar compressible material is placed underneath the band to fill crevices that would otherwise allow moths to crawl under the band (Otvos and Hunt 1986; Thorpe et al. 1993).

Sticky bands have been recommended and used for centuries to try and reduce damage by lepidopteran pests (Deane 1797; Riley et al. 1883; Britton 1900; Collins and Hood 1920). Yet, research on their efficacy is inconsistent. Bands effectively capture winter moths, gypsy moths, and cankerworms, and often reduce larval abundance in tree canopies, but in most cases do not reduce defoliation (Blumenthal 1983; Blumenthal and Hoover 1986; Otvos and Hunt 1986; Thorpe et al. 1993; Thorpe and Ridgway 1994; La France and Westwood 2006). One study has investigated the efficacy of bands for cankerworm management and found that larval abundance and defoliation were not different between banded and unbanded trees (La France and Westwood 2006). However, the authors point out that larval abundance was very low, making these estimates unreliable (La France and Westwood 2006). The goal of the present study was to test the efficacy of simple tree bands to reduce larval abundance and defoliation of large oak trees by fall and spring cankerworms. No study has shown a benefit to using compressible crevice-filling material beneath bands. So the authors chose to test bands without compressible material, which would be simpler and less expensive to construct and install. No bands, one band, or two bands were arranged for large willow oaks (Quercus phellos) to determine: 1) the seasonal activity of fall and spring cankerworm adults; and how the number of bands affects 2) the number of moths captured; 3) larval abundance in the canopy; and 4) canopy defoliation.

**METHODS AND MATERIALS**

This experiment was conducted on the campus of North Carolina State University in Raleigh, North Carolina, U.S. On October 24, 2012, the study authors selected eleven sites that each contained three similar-sized willow oaks. Sites were separated by at least 100 m. Experimental trees at each site were not touching each other or any other tree. Tree DBH was measured and compared among treatments using ANOVA.

Trees within each site were randomly assigned to receive zero sticky bands, one sticky band, or two sticky bands, and labeled by the sticky band treatment they received. Willow oaks were chosen as a study species because they were the primary host for cankerworms in previous seasons and one of the most common tree species in Raleigh. Each trunk was wrapped at breast height with a 7.6 cm wide band of Tanglefoot Tangle Guard™ (Contech Inc., Victoria, British Columbia, Canada) banding material. The bands were stretched tightly and held in place with staples. Tanglefoot™ (Contech Inc., Victoria, British Columbia, Canada) was applied approximately 5 mm deep with a putty knife to cover the paper bands. Tanglefoot was reapplied as needed when it became thin from scraping off moths or when bands became covered in debris.

To determine the seasonal abundance and activity of adult female cankerworms, research-
ers visited trees twice per week from November 26, 2012 until March 28, 2013 to count the female fall and spring cankerworm moths stuck to the sticky bands. Moths were removed from the bands with a stick as they were counted.

To determine how many moths climbed past the first sticky band and to determine if two bands were more effective than one, researchers compared the number of moths captured on trees with one band and two bands over the season with repeated measures ANOVA in the Mixed Procedure of SAS v. 9.3. Site was included as a blocking factor.

To determine how sticky bands affect cankerworm larval abundance in tree canopies, cankerworm larvae were counted on 4, 8, 18, and 25 of April 2013. Two 60 cm branches were clipped from each tree and beaten onto a 60 cm × 60 cm white beat sheet. Researchers counted the larvae on the sheet and examined the branch to count larvae that were not dislodged by beating. Larval abundance was also sampled by placing a 30 cm × 60 cm black tray (filled with 3–5 cm of soapy water) on the ground below each tree to capture larvae as they dropped from the canopy. The trays were deployed nine times between April 18 and April 30, 2013; the larvae were counted after 12–24 hours. All counts were converted to larvae per 12 hours for analysis. The number of larvae was compared with repeated measures ANOVA in the Mixed Procedure of SAS v. 9.3 (SAS 2012). Site was included as a blocking factor.

To determine how sticky bands affect defoliation, leaf damage was estimated on each branch collected during beat sampling. Two observers estimated the percent of the total leaf area that was missing from each branch. Researchers used the mean of the four observations (two branches with two observations each) as the data for analysis. On May 7, 2013, the total defoliation of each study tree was estimated. Two observers examined each tree from one point of view and recorded the percent of leaf area missing, then moved 180 degrees around the tree and recorded a second estimate. The mean of the four observations was used as the data for analysis. Percent defoliation was compared with ANOVA in the Mixed Procedure of SAS v. 9.3 with site included as a blocking factor.

To determine if percent canopy defoliation was related to larval abundance, researchers tested the correlation between the mean number of larvae counted from beat samples on each tree and percent defoliation. The correlation between the mean number of larvae collected in trays per 12 hours (mean of all dates) from each tree and percent defoliation was also tested. Finally, researchers tested the correlation between the mean larvae collected in trays per 12 hours on the first three sample dates and percent defoliation to determine if early-season abundance predicted end-of-season damage. All correlations were tested in the Corr Procedure of SAS v. 9.3.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The mean (±SEM) DBH did not differ between trees with no bands (84.8±13.9), one band (73.6±13.3), or two bands (74.5±14.2; $F_{2, 30} = 0.21; P = 0.810$).

The first female fall cankerworm adult was caught on a sticky band on November 30, 2012. Over the next four months, researchers captured 16,498 fall cankerworm moths (Figure 1). The most moths (2,810) were captured on December 28. Forty-one spring cankerworm moths were captured between January 11, 2013 and March 21, 2013.

The number of cankerworm moths captured on sticky bands varied significantly over time ($F_{21, 430} = 16.30; P < 0.0001$), but contrary to the hypothesis, two bands did not capture significantly more moths than one band ($F_{21, 430} = 1.04; P = 0.308$), nor was there a significant interaction (Figure 2; $F_{21, 430} = 0.85; P = 0.654$). On two-band trees, 38% of moths were captured on the upper band.

![Figure 1. Total capture of female fall cankerworm moths (all sites combined) on sticky bands on each sample date from November 30, 2012 to March 22, 2013.](image-url)
shows that cankerworm moths were able to pass the first lower band, but researchers do not know how many also passed the upper band. A similar study found that 20% of female moths passed the first band and got trapped on a second band 30.5 cm higher (La France and Westwood 2006). In the current study, the bands did not have compressible material to fill crevices in bark, and researchers did not use caulk or Tanglefoot to fill bark crevices as others have done (e.g., Otvos and Hunt 1986; Thorpe et al. 1993). This may be why a higher percentage of moths were captured on upper bands in the current study than by La France and Westwood (2006), but they do not describe how the bands were constructed in their study.

Cankerworm larvae were first found on March 28, 2013. Cankerworm larval abundance, as assessed by the beat sample method, varied over time ($F_{3, 110} = 41.98; P < 0.0001$), but there was not a significant effect of bands on larval abundance ($F_{2, 110} = 0.73; P = 0.4836$) or an interaction between date and bands (Figure 3; $F_{6, 110} = 0.56; P = 0.761$). La France and Westwood (2006) also assessed larval abundance by counting larvae on (30.5 cm) twigs cut from the canopy; they did not find a difference between unbanded and banded trees. This method may not provide an accurate measure of relative larval abundance because different results were found when larval abundance was measured with plastic trays of soapy water. Larval abundance, as measured by trays, was significantly less on two-band trees than on trees with one or zero bands ($F_{2, 209} = 3.70; P = 0.026$) and varied over time ($F_{7, 209} = 12.49; P < 0.0001$). There was not a significant interaction between number of bands and date sampled ($F_{14, 209} = 1.29; P = 0.213$).

Sticky bands did not affect the amount of defoliation as estimated from 70 cm branches ($F_{2, 209} = 1.51; P = 0.231$), but defoliation increased on branches over time ($F_{1, 50} = 21.08; P < 0.0001$). There is no significant interaction between band treatment and sampling date on branch defoliation ($F_{2, 50} = 0.30; P = 0.746$). Cankerworm larvae per branch were significantly, positively correlated with percent defoliation per branch during peak larval abundance on April 18 ($r = 0.684; n=33; P < 0.0001$). There was not a significant correlation on April 25 ($r = 0.161; n = 33; P = 0.371$), when larvae were scarce.
kerworm larvae per branch were not significantly correlated with percent canopy defoliation on April 18 (r = -0.159; n = 33; P = 0.376) or April 25 (r = -0.030; n = 33; P = 0.868). This indicates that counting cankerworm larvae on branch clippings is not an effective way to predict canopy defoliation later in the season. Cankerworm larvae and defoliation can be clumped within a canopy so a large sample would be necessary to predict density accurately. The density of gypsy moth larvae is also estimated by passive collection of frass pellets rather than direct sampling for this reason (Liebold and Elkinton 1988). Researchers could only sample the lowest branches of many trees with a 4.9 m pole pruner, so it was impossible to get representative samples from multiple locations in the canopy.

Percent canopy defoliation did not differ due to band treatment (Figure 5; F2,20 = 0.81; P = 0.460). Percent canopy defoliation (arcsin√x transformed) was significantly positively correlated with the season-long mean of larvae captured per 12 hours (log(x+1) transformed) in trays (r = 0.374; n = 33; P = 0.032). Untransformed data were also correlated (Figure 6; r = 0.325; n = 33; P = 0.065). Percent canopy defoliation was correlated with the number of larvae captured on each of the first three tray sampling dates (April 18 r = 0.338; n = 33; P = 0.054; April 19 r = 0.477; n = 33; P = 0.005; April 20, r = 0.351; n = 26; P = 0.078) and with the mean of these dates (Figure 6; r = 0.392; n = 33; P = 0.024).

Cankerworm defoliation can be predicted by the number of female moths captured by sticky bands on sample trees in a forest (Kegg 1967). At least one city has used this relationship to develop an action threshold to determine which parts of a city will need insecticide applications to prevent unacceptable levels of defoliation (Eirich 2008). Researchers of the current study found that monitoring cankerworm abundance with trays of soapy water just after egg hatch could be a useful way to predict defoliation and whether insecticide applications are necessary. More research would be required to develop an action threshold based on tray captures. Since larvae only feed for 4–6 weeks, monitoring cankerworm abundance after egg hatch does not leave much time to make an insecticide application before defoliation occurs.

Research on cankerworms (La France and Westwood 2006), winter moths (Otvos and Hunt 1986), and gypsy moths (Thorpe et al. 1993) has typically found that sticky bands have little to no effect on defoliation, in spite of capturing many moths or larvae. Cankerworms can lay over 200 eggs each. In the current study, two sticky bands...
prevented more than 900 moths per tree from laying eggs in the crown. This led to small differences in larval abundance and had no effect on defoliation between treatments. It is impossible to know if blocking crevices under the sticky bands would have reduced the number of moths traversing the bands, larval abundance, or defoliation. Studies that blocked crevices beneath bands also found that bands did not reduce larval abundance or defoliation (Blumenthal and Hoover 1986; Otvos and Hunt 1986; Thorpe et al. 1993; Thorpe and Ridgway 1994; La France and Westwood 2006). Estimating defoliation in 10% increments was probably not sensitive enough when the average tree was less than 25% defoliated. However, since larval density and defoliation are positively correlated, the study authors predict that sticky bands may have more benefit when populations are large and defoliation is high. This is supported by the only study to find that sticky bands reduced defoliation by a lepidopteran pest. Blumenthal (1983) found that bands reduce gypsy moth defoliation of oaks by up to 47% when defoliation of unbanded trees was close to 100%.

This study is only the second study to test sticky bands specifically for cankerworm management. Although researchers did not find direct reductions in defoliation due to banding, larval abundance, which is correlated with defoliation, was reduced. Despite having little scientific support, municipalities often deploy thousands of sticky bands to help reduce cankerworm damage and insecticide applications (La France and Westwood 2006; Eirich 2008). Sticky bands may have benefits when deployed on a citywide or landscape scale that cannot be detected in small-scale studies. Future studies should investigate how the geographic scale of banding programs and outbreak severity affect sticky band efficacy against cankerworms.
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Resumen. La defoliación por insectos puede reducir el crecimiento del árbol, incrementa la mortalidad y aumentar la defoliación de las plantas vecinas. En Carolina del Norte, EE.UU., las larvas de otoño (Alsophila pometaria) y de primavera (Paleacrita vernata) son importantes defoliadores de principios de temporada y se han vuelto muy comunes en los últimos años. Los gusanos adultos femeninos de otoño y primavera no son alados y suben por los troncos de árboles para aparearse y depositar masas de huevos. Por lo tanto, la envoltura con bandas de papel adherivas alrededor de los árboles, cubriéndolos con Tanglefoot™, pueden interceptar las hembras lo que impide la oviposición y la reducción de la abundancia de larvas posterior y la defoliación. Los autores plantean la hipótesis de que las bandas adherivas reducen las larvas y la defoliación en el dosel, en comparación con los árboles sin anillar. Para probar esta hipótesis, se midieron la abundancia de larvas y la defoliación en encinos con cero, una y dos bandas. Se encontró que los árboles con dos bandas capturaron un promedio de 38,69 % más larvas que los árboles con una sola banda. Como consecuencia, los árboles de dos bandas tenían menor cantidad de larvas en la copa. Se encontró que la abundancia de larvas, muestreada a principios de la temporada con pruebas de agua jabonosa, se correlacionó con la defoliación de la copa al final de la temporada. Sin embargo, las bandas no afectaron a la defoliación total de la copa. Aunque muchas ciudades utilizan bandas adherivas como parte del manejo de las larvas, los autores no encontraron evidencia de su eficacia cuando la defoliación es baja.