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**Abstract.** *Thaumastocoris peregrinus*, an Australian native, is a new and serious pest of urban eucalypts planted in Sydney and commercial centers of Australia. In recent years, it has spread to and attained pest status in South African *Eucalyptus* plantations and, more recently, has been discovered in Argentina and Uruguay. Mature *Eucalyptus scoparia* street trees, growing in a southern Sydney suburb, were microinjected with imidacloprid at three concentrations and monitored for three years. The abundance of *T. peregrinus* on treated eucalypts declined significantly compared to untreated trees over this time. Further, at the lowest concentration of chemical this insect was effectively controlled for two years. Imidacloprid (SilvaShield®; Bayer Environmental Science) has been registered in Australia for the control of *T. peregrinus*.
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*Thaumastocoris peregrinus* is a small sap-feeding insect in the family Thaumastocoridae (Hemiptera: Heteroptera). The family contains three subfamilies with disjunct distributions. Thaicorinae is known only from Thailand; its hosts are unknown. Xylastodorinae from South America lives exclusively on palms (Cassis et al. 1999) and following its introduction to Florida in 1920, became a significant pest on royal palms (*Roystonea regia*) (Reinert 1975). Thaumastocoridae is distributed throughout Australia (with a single specimen known from India) and its host plants are predominantly dicotyledons.

Until recently, the Australian group, Thaumastocoridae, was considered rare (Cassis et al. 1999). However, during the past eight years *T. peregrinus* has rapidly established itself as a pest of some *Eucalyptus* species throughout metropolitan Sydney and regional towns of New South Wales, Australia. It has also become a significant and rapidly spreading pest of eucalypt plantations in South Africa (Wingfield 2007) and urban plantings of eucalypts in Pretoria (pers. comm. Simon Lawson). More recently, it has become established on urban eucalypt growing in Buenos Aires, Argentina (Carpintero and Dellapé 2006). Some commercial plantations of the Entre Rios Province (Argentina) and Uruguay are also infested (pers. comm. Carlos Coviella).

Within Sydney, two *Eucalyptus* species—*E. scoparia* and *E. nicholii*—have been severely impacted by *T. peregrinus* infestation. These trees are very common as street and garden trees within the metropolitan area and are valued for their compact stature and fast growth (Noack and Rose 2007). When heavily infested these trees display a reddening of leaves, and as the infestation progresses, the entire canopy turns reddish yellow and the tree drops its leaves (pers. obs. A. Noack). Significant numbers of eucalypts have been removed from the Sydney basin by local councils due to such infestations (pers. obs. A. Noack).

Two mymarid wasps have been confirmed attacking the eggs of *T. peregrinus* in Sydney: *Cleruchoides noackae* and *Stethynium* sp. (Lin et al. 2007). Although mymarids are recognised as exerting significant natural control over a large number of taxa, only a few species have been used in deliberate control programs (Huber 1986; Lin et al. 2007). Research to determine the efficacy of these wasps in controlling *T. peregrinus* is in its infancy but until long-term sustainable management of this pest is achieved intervention with insecticides is one solution.

Imidacloprid is a chloronicotinyl insecticide that acts on the nicotinic acetylcholine receptor of invertebrates (Bai et al. 1991). It was released in the early 1990s and is regularly used to control insects in a variety of situations (Smith and Smith 2000; Webb et al. 2003; Ahern et al. 2005; Poland et al. 2006; Frank et al. 2007). The impact on nontarget insects by imidacloprid has been assessed as significantly less than many other insecticides (Albajes et al. 2003; Kilpatrick et al. 2005) and, although research in the mid 1990s implicated imidacloprid as associated with colony collapse in the honey bee (*Apis mellifera*), more recent research conflicts and advances numerous other causal factors (reviewed in Devine and Furlong 2007; Oldroyd 2007).

When used as a systemic, imidacloprid disperses evenly in young growing plants (Nauen et al. 1999) and trees (Lawson and Dahlsten 2003; Castle et al. 2005; Cowles et al. 2006) making it a popular and effective chemical against many hemipteran pests (Ahern et al. 2005) such as psyllids, adelgids, lace bugs, flatids, and aphids (Nauen 1995; Smith and Smith 2000; Young 2002; Szczepaniec and Raupp 2007). Imidacloprid has been used to control Thaumastocoridae. Howard and Stopek (1998) administered imidacloprid systemically, via a root drench, to control outbreak populations of royal palm bug (*Xylastodoris luteolus*) on royal palms in Florida and report at least three months of effective control.

Environmental concerns render the systemic use of pesticides more desirable than foliar application, particularly in urban settings where pesticide drift is an additional problem (Lawson and Dahlsten 2003). The inaccessibility of canopies of large trees com-
bined with the low amounts of pesticides used in trunk injection makes this method of pest control attractive to stakeholders.

Additionally, there is a need to investigate the insecticide dosage required to maintain the desired level of control, as some stakeholders (public and private) will use this information for cost analysis when making decisions on the treatment of important or significant trees.

This paper reports the collaboration of Bayer Environmental Science Australia, the University of Sydney, and the Sutherland Shire Council in evaluating the efficacy of trunk injected imidacloprid for the control of *T. peregrinus* on an urban planting of *E. scoparia*.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Mature *E. scoparia* trees growing along a residential street in Sutherland, a southern suburb of Sydney, Australia (34°01.653’S; 151°04.014’E; Alt. 89 m) were utilized in this trial. These trees were planted in 1982 (pers. comm. Mark McCaughtrie) and are one of the dominant street trees in the area. Fourteen trees, situated on both sides of the street, ranging in height from approximately 10 to 30 m (30 to 100 ft) were selected for the trial. Trunk diameter was measured at breast height (1.3 m/51 in aboveground level), and ranged in size from 380 to 810 mm (15 to 32 in). For the purposes of this study, trees were assigned to one of four groups; control (no treatment) or low, mid, and high treatment concentrations (Table 1).

Fourteen trees, situated on both sides of the street, ranging in height from 380 to 810 mm (15 to 32 in). For the purposes of this study, trees were assigned to one of four groups; control (no treatment) or low, mid, and high treatment concentrations (Table 1).

| Tree group | Diameter cm (in) | g imidacloprid/cm (in) of dbh
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>control</td>
<td>72 (28)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>control</td>
<td>60 (24)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>control</td>
<td>73 (29)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>low conc.</td>
<td>81 (32)</td>
<td>0.05 (0.004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>low conc.</td>
<td>67 (26)</td>
<td>0.05 (0.004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>low conc.</td>
<td>67 (26)</td>
<td>0.04 (0.003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>low conc.</td>
<td>57 (22)</td>
<td>0.05 (0.004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mid conc.</td>
<td>65 (26)</td>
<td>0.09 (0.008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mid conc.</td>
<td>62 (24)</td>
<td>0.10 (0.008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mid conc.</td>
<td>38 (15)</td>
<td>0.11 (0.009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mid conc.</td>
<td>38 (15)</td>
<td>0.11 (0.009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>high conc.</td>
<td>65 (26)</td>
<td>0.15 (0.012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>high conc.</td>
<td>57 (22)</td>
<td>0.16 (0.013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>high conc.</td>
<td>51 (20)</td>
<td>0.16 (0.012)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*grams (oz) of active ingredient per cm of diameter at dbh (1.3 m/51 in).*

A solution of 200 g/L (26.7 oz/gal) imidacloprid [SilvaShieldSL, 20% (AI)] was administered with a Sidewinder® tree injector at 2400–2700 kPa (350–400 psi). Holes were drilled approximately 40–50 mm (1.6–2.0 in) into the sap wood and distributed as evenly as possible around the circumference of the trunk at a height of approximately 1.0 to 1.5 m (3.2 to 4.9 ft).

Trees receiving the low concentration treatment received doses in 5 ml (0.17 fl oz) allotments, while the solution delivered to the mid and high concentrations groups was administered in 10 mL (0.34 fl oz) doses.

Using a cherry picker, the canopies of treated and control trees were sampled for the presence of *T. peregrinus* on ten occasions over three years commencing September 15, 2004. Initially the trees were sampled on a monthly (approximately) basis and then at increasing intervals up to two years after treatment (at treatment and then, 1 month, 1.5 months, 3 months, 6 months, 9 months, 1 year, 1.5 years, and 2 years). A supplementary sample was conducted at three years post treatment.

A cherry picker operator manoeuvred the 23 m (75.5 ft) telescopic boom mounted on a 14 tonne (15.4 ton) truck over the accessible canopy of each tree. From the cherry picker bucket, the sampler removed one fully expanded leaf at approximately five second intervals until a total 40 leaves were taken from each tree. These leaves were bagged, labelled and taken back to the laboratory at The University of Sydney. In the laboratory the leaves were examined under a microscope and adult *T. peregrinus* were counted.

Raw data were $\log_{10}(x + 1)$ transformed. The log transformation was necessary as the residuals for the raw count data did not fit the (classic) assumptions of the analysis of variance (ANOVA) and repeated measures residual maximum likelihood (REML) analysis such as constant variance and linearity. This log transformation provided a notable improvement in residual diagnosis. REML analysis, with an unstructured model for correlation within subject across time, was used to detect statistically significant differences in the efficacy of each treatment over time. The unstructured correlation model was chosen due to unequal spacing between time points. The test statistic (Wald/df, symbolised by $X^2$) used in this analysis is assumed to approximate the chi-square distribution. Post hoc comparisons between means were conducted by comparing the absolute mean difference to the least significant difference (LSD) (Patterson and Thompson 1971; McCulloch and Searle 2001).

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Efficacy results were based on the comparison of population levels of *T. peregrinus* on treated and untreated trees over the three years of this study. The repeated measures REML analysis showed significant effects of time ($P < 0.001, X^2 = 204.53, df = 9$), treatment ($P < 0.001, X^2 = 1115.34, df = 3$) and a significant interaction between treatment and time ($P < 0.001, X^2 = 180.83, df = 27$). Imidacloprid effectively controlled *T. peregrinus* within one month of application and

Figure 1. Abundance of *Thaumastocoris peregrinus* adults (per 40 leaves) on *Eucalyptus scoparia* trees over two years after treatment with three concentrations of imidacloprid. Within each sample point, means that share the same letter do not differ. Note time scale is not even.
maintained adequate control for at least two years (Figure 1).

The initial sample established baseline populations for all treatments and confirmed there was no difference between any of the treatments at the beginning of the experiment. This sample was taken three days after administration of the imidacloprid and it would be unlikely the chemical would have been translocated throughout the tree in that time. However, by the next sampling date, one month post-treatment, there was a significant decline in the T. peregrinus populations on all treated trees. The mean numbers of insects sampled on low and mid concentration treatments were statistically less than those on the untreated trees. Trees treated with the high concentration, however, were not; but nonetheless their number had reduced considerably. By the third month, all treatments had significantly fewer insects than untreated trees and further, there was no difference in the control afforded by the different concentrations of imidacloprid. This trend was maintained for almost two years until September 2006. Sampling at this time indicated the efficacy of low concentration application had diminished. By the final sample, September of the following year, there was no significant difference between treatments and control. Although the trees were not surveyed between September 2006 and 2007, it is likely the control afforded by the high concentration application was impacting on T. peregrinus as their numbers were half that of any other group. Additionally, trees were visually monitored during this time and, although control and trees treated with the lower concentration of chemical displayed the characteristic reddening of the canopy, the trees in the high concentration group did not.

The longevity of control attained in this experiment is comparable with the work of Frank et al. (2007) and Szczepanik and Raupp (2007) who established that soil application of imidacloprid provided at least two years protection from Japanese beetles on little-leaf linden trees and hawthorn lace bugs on cotoneasters respectively. While this study’s results concur with the work of Cowles et al. (2006) in the longevity of control the chemical imidacloprid delivered, they conflict in the efficacy of the chemical delivery system. Investigating the efficacy of imidacloprid in controlling the hemlock woolly adelgid on hemlock trees these workers additionally compared the efficiencies of delivery method and found that, while the soil application of imidacloprid controlled these insects for two years, trunk injection of the chemical was ineffectual.

Cowles et al. (2006) compared the efficacy of three trunk injection protocols and three soil drench methods in controlling adelgids and analyzed imidacloprid residues in tree tissues from all treatments. In their own words the “precise relationship between residues and efficacy are elusive.” This is demonstrated in an assay which determined concentration of imidacloprid in tissues from trunk injected trees was similar to that of the soil drench group, yet the control afforded by the former was ineffectual; and in another they found chemical residues in the tissues of trunk injected trees higher than the untreated which in turn was higher than the soil drench residues. Cowles et al. (2006) suggest possible reasons for the poor performance of trunk injection methods; there may have been an interaction between the hemlock sap and the injected formulation of imidacloprid which resulted in the active ingredient being precipitated out of the formulation by dilution of the solvent with sap or the chemical may have been unevenly translocated throughout the canopy.

Few investigations have been conducted on the control of insect pests by imidacloprid on eucalypt trees. This study’s results compare favorably with those of Young (2002) who micro-injected eucalypts with imidacloprid [3 ml of 10% (AI) per 5 cm (2 in) of trunk diameter] to control red gum lerp psyllid. In his study imidacloprid treated trees showed a significant reduction of psyllids for eight months. However, by the end of the experiment at 15 months, large variation in the control afforded by the treatment occurred between the trees. This worker observed that some trees had longer residual activity than others, and indicated several factors such as tree health, age, crown, and root structure may influence material uptake and distribution. The results suggest a constant and even translocation of chemical throughout the treated trees as standard errors (variation) were small within all treatment groups. In this trial the longevity and efficacy of control afforded by imidacloprid can probably be attributed to a combination of the insect’s susceptibility to the chemical, and to the use of multiple injection sites which ensured an even distribution of chemical throughout the tree.

CONCLUSION

Trees treated with imidacloprid [SilvaShield SL, 20% (AI)] showed a significant reduction in populations of T. peregrinus when compared to untreated trees. The study authors suggest microinjection of this chemical at a rate of 3 to 5 ml/10 cm (0.10 to 0.17 fl.oz/4 in) of dbh effectively controlled T. peregrinus for two to three years. SilvaShield has been recently registered for the control of T. peregrinus on eucalyptas in Australia.
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Résumé. Le *Thaumastocoris peregrinus*, une espèce australienne, est un nouveau parasite problématique des eucalyptus plantés en milieu urbain à Sydney ainsi que dans les centres commerciaux de l’Australie. Au cours des dernières années, il s’est disséminé et il a atteint le statut de parasite problématique dans les plantations d’eucalyptus en Afrique du Sud et où il a été découvert plus récemment en Argentine et Uruguay. Les *Eucalyptus scoparia* matures en arbres de rues qui poussent dans la banlieue Sud de Sydney ont été micro-injectés avec de l’imidacloprid à trois concentrations différentes et ils ont été suivis durant trois ans. L’abondance de *T. peregrinus* chez les eucalyptus traités a décliné significativement comparativement aux arbres non traités durant cette période. De plus, à la plus faible concentration du produit chimique, cet insecte était effectivement sous contrôle pour une période de deux ans. L’imidacloprid (SilvaShield®; Bayer Environmental Science) a été homologué en Australie pour le contrôle de *T. peregrinus*.


Resumen. *Thaumastocoris peregrinus*, nativa de Australia, es una nueva y seria plaga de eucaliptos urbanos plantados en Sydney y centros comerciales de Australia. En años recientes, se ha dispersado y ha alcanzado plantaciones de eucalipto en Sur África y, más recientemente, se ha descubierto en Argentina y Uruguay. Árboles maduros de *Eucalyptus scoparia*, creciendo en un suburbio del sur de Sydney, se inyectaron con imidacloprid a tres concentraciones y se monitorearon por tres años. La abundancia de *T. peregrinus* en eucaliptos tratados declinó significativamente comparado con árboles no tratados. Además, a baja concentración del químico este insecto fue controlado efectivamente por dos años. Imidacloprid (SilvaShield®, Bayer Environmental Science) ha sido registrado en Australia para el control de *T. peregrinus*. 