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Abstract. High diversity of species and genera and site adaptation are two important factors in achieving a healthy and sustainable urban tree population. This paper presents and discusses a selection procedure for the identification of trees adapted to inner city environments. The procedure is based on dendroecological studies of trees in natural habitats, with similarities in climate and site conditions as inner city environments. By studying trees in such habitats, first-hand information can be gained on the growth and performance of a wide range of species and genotypes. Two field studies were conducted, one in central China and another in northeast Romania and the adjoining Republic of Moldavia with the aim to identify tree species and genotypes adapted to inner city environments in the northern parts of central Europe and the adjoining milder parts of northern Europe. In total, 27 tree species were identified as specialists for warm and periodically dry habitats. Of these tree species, only four are currently used frequently or used to some extent in northern Europe, meaning that 23 other tree species identified in the case studies can be potential supplements for diversification of the urban tree population.

Key Words. China; Dendroecology; Moldavia; Romania; Selection; Urban Environments; Urban Trees.

Urban trees have aesthetic, socio-cultural, environmental, and economic values that are beneficial for the sustainability and quality of life in urban areas (Nowak 2002; Tyrväinen et al. 2005). Greenspaces and particular areas with trees can also play an important role for adaptation of cities to climate change (Gill et al. 2007). However, trees need to be healthy, well-growing, and long-lived to provide these services (Gómez-Muñoz et al. 2010). The average lifespan of street trees and of other trees living in paved environments exposed to high stress is often rather short (Sæbø et al. 2005), and can be as low as only 10 years (Foster and Blaine 1977; Gilbertson and Bradshaw 1990; Nowak et al. 1990). Surviving trees are often in a poor condition. The main reason for this decline is the difference in environmental conditions provided in the urban landscape compared with that of the habitats within which most trees in the urban landscape originate (Sieghardt et al. 2005).

The modern city has changed dramatically due to the effects of the urban heat island (UHI), restricted rooting space, water-impervious surfaces and poor growth substrates. This makes trees planted along streets and in paved sites suffer particularly due to lack of water and oxygen, as well as unbalanced provision of nutrients (e.g., Craul 1999; Hoff 2001; Sieghardt et al. 2005; Nielsen et al. 2007). A recent study showed that even in a Nordic city with a cool climate, such as Copenhagen, Denmark, street trees suffer from lack of water even in summers that are actually considerably wetter than average in Copenhagen (Bühler et al. 2007).

Creating good growing conditions is therefore imperative for sustainable development of the urban stock of trees (Trowbridge and Bassuk 2004). Recent research has concentrated on the development of methods and techniques to improve habitat conditions in the city. In particular, the soil and rooting zone have been in focus (e.g., Grabosky and Bassuk 1996; Kristoffersen 1999; Pedersen et al. 2000; Trowbridge and Bassuk 2004; Roberts et al. 2006; Morgenroth and Visser 2011). However, improved methods for tree planting in paved urban sites will not provide similar growing conditions as in forests and parks. Therefore, the selection of a broad range of hardy species and genotypes for urban paved sites remains an important task, even more so if climate conditions become more adverse for urban tree life through climate change. For Central and Northern Europe, air temperatures are predicted to be higher, and periods of drought during summertime will increase (EEA 2008).

With climate change, the future urban tree stock also needs to withstand such challenges as an increase in pests and diseases. It has thus been suggested that the urban forest should be diversified. Some researchers have indicated that no species should represent more than 10%, no genus more than 20%, and no family more than 30% of the total population (Santamour et al. 2005, based on a survey by Pauleit et al. 2002), and dictated by traditions rather than based on rules grounded in science. This narrow choice of species and genotypes is particularly apparent in Northern Europe. In a compilation by Sæbø et al. (2005, based on a survey by Pauleit et al. 2002), eight tree species were reported to be the most common species in street environments in Northern Europe: Acer platanoides L., A. pseudoplatanus L., Aesculus hippocastanum L., Betula pendula Roth., B. pubescens Ehrh., Populus trichocarpa Torr., Sorbus spp. [e.g., Sorbus × intermedia (Ehrh.) Pers.], and Tilia × europaea L. However, the main natural habitats for these species, except Betula pendula and Sorbus × intermedia, are
in nutrient-rich and moist sites where they develop into large and tenacious trees, while they show noticeably weaker develop-
ment in inferior conditions (Ellenberg 1988; Pålsson 1998).
Thus there are currently two important directions in the selec-
tion of urban trees: 1) Increasing the knowledge and experience
of site-adapted species useful for the varying growing conditions
in urban environments; and 2) increasing the knowledge and ex-
perience of new and/or untested tree species with the potential
to complement the existing urban tree population in the region.

Research on the selection and testing of alternative tree species,
genotypes, and provenances is underway in several countries, but
with different objectives. In forestry, the main focus in selection
and breeding programmes continues to be on fast-growing and
high-performing genotypes of mainly, already widely used for-
est tree species and on resistance to different pests and diseases
(Perry 1998; Lu and Charrette 2008; Buránék et al. 2011). In the
selection programmes for urban environments, the criteria do not
differ greatly, apart from omitting commercial aspects such as tim-
ber production. Some examples of species selection programmes
in Europe are presented by Sæbø et al. (2005). In this field, two
main strategies are suggested. The first is to concentrate on the
genetic aspect of already much-used species in order to iden-
tify the best varieties and genotypes in relation to city habitats.
The second strategy involves broadening the range of urban tree
species through a focused selection of species found in habitats
showing similar conditions to city habitats. In this latter direction,
Sæbø et al. (2005) describe a project in Greece where species are
recommended after analyses of their natural requirements of
soil conditions and climate. Furthermore, Ducatillion and Dubois
(1997) based their selection on information from bibliographies,
archives, and observations of living plants in southern France.

When using habitat studies in the search for potential species
and genotypes, it is unlikely that the species-poor native dendro-
flora of the northern parts of Central Europe and the adjacent
milder parts of Northern Europe (abbreviated to CNE-region; El-
lenberg 1988) can provide a large range of species with extended
tolerance of the environmental stresses characterising, for ex-
ample, paved sites within urban areas of the region (Duhme and
Pauleit 2000). Other regions with a comparable climate but with
a richer dendroflora may have the potential to provide new tree
species, genera, and genotypes for this purpose (Takhtajan 1986;
Breckle 2002; Burga et al. 2005; Roloff et al. 2009; Sjöman and
Richnau 2009). The key question is thus how to identify—with
limited means and in a relatively short time—the right species
and genotypes for further testing. The wealth of potentially suit-
able species from areas such as China is overwhelmingly large,
which means that strategies for how and where to start search-
ing, and how to make the right choice, need to be developed.

The objective of this paper is to present and discuss the first
step in a selection procedure based on dendroecological studies
in natural habitats in order to identify promising urban trees for
inner-city environments in the CNE-region. This approach is il-
nustrated with two case studies, one in central China and the other
in northeast Romania and adjacent parts of the Republic of Mol-
daia, where habitat studies were carried out in natural habitats
comparable to the growing conditions of inner-city environments
in the CNE-region (Sjöman et al. 2010; Sjöman et al. 2012).

DEVELOPMENT OF THE SELECTION APPROACH
The grand old man in the sphere of modern arboriculture,
Alex Shigo (1991), once said about the use and maintenance
of city trees: “…we must understand the tree as it grows in
its natural site first. To try to treat a city tree without under-
standing the tree as it grows in its natural site is like draw-
ing a data curve with only an y axis; and no base line!”

In natural habitats, trees have been stress-tested and selected
erover evolutionary periods of time. Some species have developed
an extensive plasticity and tolerance to a range of environmental
conditions, while others are specialized to certain habitat types
(Rabinowitz 1981; Oliver and Larson 1996; Gurevitch et al. 2002).
Investigating the ecological background, and performance of spe-
cies growing in habitats with drought during the growing season
and winter temperatures similar to those of inner-city environ-
ments in a particular area, can assist in identifying alternative tree
species and genotypes (Flint 1985; Ware 1994; Ducatillion and
Dubois 1997; Broadmeadow et al. 2005; Sæbø et al. 2005; Rol-
off et al. 2009). The selection approach presented here comprises
four steps (Figure 1). The first step is to identify floristically rich
regions where the climate is similar to the CNE-region (Breckle
2002). In a second step, habitats where trees experience warm,
dry summers with periodic drought are identified. Then third,
dendroecological studies are conducted in the identified habitats
(Roloff et al. 2009) in order to identify specialists for this type
of habitat. Fourthly, seeds from identified species and genotypes
are then collected in order to obtain appropriate genetic materi-
als to be included in controlled selection programmes (Gurevitch
et al. 2002; Mijnsbrugge et al. 2010). Already after Step 3 it is
possible to identify the species for further evaluation (Figure 1).

The case studies were chiefly directed to follow Steps 1 to
3. Through this procedure, two levels of results were achieved.
The first group of species identified are already in cultivation
and available at tree nurseries. The second group of species have
rarely or never been cultivated in the CNE-region (Figure 1).

Figure 1. The first steps in a habitat-based selection of tree
species suitable for urban areas in the CNE-region, including two
result levels depending on earlier experiences of the species.
Step 1: Identification of Floristically Rich Regions

There are numerous geographical regions in the world with a climate regime similar to the microclimate of streets, squares, and courtyards in the CNE-region (Takhtajan 1986; Ellenberg 1988; Breeckle 2002; Burga et al. 2005). Information on such regions can be gained partly from botanical, ecological, and dendrological literature combined with local climate data, and partly from earlier experiences of species in cultivation from these regions. These species can hence serve as markers in the search for interesting and promising habitats where further suitable tree species and genotypes can be expected to grow (Kollmann and Fischer 2003). The steppe forests of northeast Romania and Moldavia and the species-rich mountains of central China are used as model areas. These two regions include species that have been in use in the CNE-region for a long time and have been observed to perform well in paved spaces. For example, *Quercus frainetto* Ten., native to northeast Romania, and *Styphnolobium japonicum* (L) Schott., native to the mountains of central China, have both been used and recommended for urban environments in the CNE-region (Bengtsson 1998).

In order to identify the potential of a region to host appropriate tree species for particular areas, such as inner-city environments, Sjöman and Richnau (2009) presented an approach based on climatological comparisons and calculation of the potential water net differences. For these calculations the regression presented by Thornthwaite (1948) was used, with monthly potential evapotranspiration based on the values of temperature, precipitation, number of sunshine hours per day, estimated water runoff, and cloudiness. These results indicate seasonal temperatures and show similarities and regards where, when, and how much water stress occurs during the growing season (Figure 3).

Step 2: Search for Habitats Similar to Inner-city Environments

Even if it is possible to identify a region’s potential to host appropriate tree species for inner-city environments based on climate data, it is also important to find appropriate sites and microclimates because they can differ considerably within short distances, particularly in mountain regions (Barry 2008). For example, the climate and water availability for plants can differ significantly between a south-facing slope and a north-facing slope at the same altitude, influencing the vegetation structure and species compositions in the stands (Figure 3; Sjöman et al. 2010). The direct exposure to sunlight on steep south-facing slopes causes low air humidity and rapid drying of the soil, which is comparable to the situation at many urban paved sites (Sieghardt et al. 2005). In order to gain such local site-related information, literature and field measurements are necessary, including soil samples for evaluation of soil texture, humus content, and pH value (FAO 2006). This soil-related data gives valuable guidance in matching urban sites in terms of soil water-holding capacity and other important variables (Craul 1999; Sieghardt et al. 2005). In mountainous regions, slope steepness is an important factor since water runoff data are crucial in calculating the net water differences in stands (Thornthwaite 1948).

Step 3: Evaluation of Tree Growth and Performance

After identification of appropriate regions and habitats, where plants are exposed to cold winter temperatures in combination...
with warm and periodically dry summer climates, a more detailed investigation of the plant material should be conducted.

In evaluation of species growth and development, data on height, DBH, and age are collected. Drilling or coring for annual growth count allows the age of the trees to be established (Grissino-Mayer 2003). Growth data make it possible to calculate the development of a species on a particular site. Species showing relatively slow and/or underdeveloped growth in these types of habitats can be detected and the following process can instead focus on the species that develop more rapidly into rather large trees in this type of habitat. Statistical conclusions with greater accuracy can be secured by using a large number of individuals representing a wide range of ages in the growth data collection. The position of the individual tree in the stand is also documented, distinguishing canopy from understory. Trees in the canopy layer modify the wind, humidity, and temperature microclimate for species in the understory layer. Canopy species suffer from much higher transpiration due to high amount of wind and direct exposure to sunlight, and thereby warmer temperatures (Oliver and Larson 1996).

Data on growth and position of species within the forest stand, together with information on species occurrence in different habitats of the region, provide a qualitative approach to identify species that are generalists and those that are specialists (Rabinowitz 1981; Oliver and Larson 1996; Gurevitch et al. 2002). Species that exist in many types of habitats, yet in limited numbers, and show slow and/or underdeveloped growth on warm and periodically dry sites, while performing much better in cooler and moister sites, indicate an extensive plasticity (habitat generalists). Species that have a limited habitat preference for more or less warm and periodically dry habitats, but still develop into old and tall growing trees, are habitat specialists (Gurevitch et al. 2002). Species with their main position in the canopy layer are probably more tolerant to warmer temperatures and have much more effective transpiration compared with species in the understory (Oliver and Larson 1996). By assessing the habitat distribution, individual growth and position of species within the forest structure, comprehensive knowledge is gained on which species to evaluate further.

**Step 4: Collecting Seeds of Potential Trees**

It is important to consider the genetic diversity within the species in order to avoid genetic depletion, which may affect development and establishment in a new region. Mijnsbrugge at al. (2010) concluded that population differentiation is well documented for several species. It is important for climatic adaptation when selecting proper seed sources, as well as for site tolerance (Santamour et al. 1980; Xinguo and Zhiti 1998; Mijnsbrugge et al. 2010). For example, *Sorbus torminalis* (L.) Crantz. has a large natural distribution over central and eastern Europe and material originating from steppe forests in southeast Europe. The tree has a higher tolerance for warmer and drier site situations than trees from the British Isles (Demesure et al. 2000; Paganová 2007). Genotypes from southeast Europe are tentatively better suited to these warm and periodically dry conditions, while material from the British Isles is better suited to cool, moist park environments. It is also important that at least 50 individuals are included in the seed sample collection in order to achieve as large genetic variation as possible and thus optimal biological insurance against limited variation and low fitness (e.g., Hughes and Stachowicz 2004; Mijnsbrugge et al. 2010).

**CASE STUDIES**

In comparisons of potential water net differences between the case study areas in China and Romania/Moldavia with urban sites in the CNE-region (Figure 3), the study plots in the Qinling Mountains showed little difference between each other and...
the data are therefore combined. In contrast, the study sites in Romania and Moldavia exhibited large climatological and site-related differences and are hence presented separately. In order to detect site matching between the study sites and the CNE-region, the site-related data are compared with two different site situations in the inner-city environment of Copenhagen, Denmark: 1) urban paved sites; and 2) urban park environments. Copenhagen is used as an example to illustrate growing conditions in a large city in the northern CNE-region.

The mean annual temperature of Copenhagen is 8°C–12°C (an additional 1°C–3°C when UHI is included) (US EPA 2009; DMI 2011). Mean annual precipitation in Copenhagen is 525 mm (DMI 2011). When calculating potential water stress (net water difference) for the sites in Copenhagen, park environments were assumed to have 10% water runoff and paved areas 70% water runoff (P90 2004).

**Case study 1: Qinling Mountains, China**

China is considered the most species-rich region of the world (Körner and Spelen 2002; Tang et al. 2006). The Qinling Mountain range is situated in the central, temperate part of the country and forms a botanical border between the southern and northern regions of China, consequently hosting a species-rich flora (Ying and Boufford 1998). Shaanxi province, where the Qinling Mountains are situated, is reported to have 1224 indigenous woody species (Kang, pers. comm. 2009), compared with only 166 in the Nordic countries (Mossberg and Stenberg 2003). The relatively northern location of the mountain range combined with its high altitudes means that plants are exposed to cold winters and warm summer months with periods of intense drought locally (Takahata 1986; Breckle 2002) – conditions that are comparable to the climate in urban sites of the CNE-region. Field studies were carried out within three different areas in the north of the Qinling Mountain range, located between 1150 and 1590 meters a.s.l. (Sjöman et al. 2010). At the study site, the mean annual temperature in the area ranges from 9°C to 12°C. The mean annual precipitation is 830 mm where 50% of the precipitation occurs predominantly from May to July, mainly as heavy rainstorms (Liu and Zhang 2003; Tang and Fang 2006).

The sites for the field studies were located based on climate data, literature, guiding by local botanists, and field inventories. In total, 20 study plots were inventoried, with a total area of 2,800 m² and including a total number of 306 trees divided between 25 species.

**Evaluation of site conditions and tree growth**

The direct exposure to sunlight on the steep slopes creates low air humidity and rapid drying of the soil, which is comparable to the situation at many urban paved sites (Sieghardt et al. 2005). The low organic matter content (mean 3.6%) and neutral pH (mean 6.7) are similar to values reported for urban sites (Craul 1999; Table 1). The soil texture was similar for all plots, with high to very high levels of silt (mean 53%) and low levels of clay (mean 2.3%; Table 1).

In calculation of potential water net difference, based on Thornthwaite (1948), the study plots experienced partial water stress in April and June, with more severe water stress in July and the remainder of the growing season (Figure 3). In comparison with urban sites in Copenhagen, the study plots experienced higher levels of water stress than park environments but less stress than paved environments. This discrepancy in water stress status is mainly due to higher precipitation rates in Qinling (Table 2). However, temperatures during the study period were 4.7°C higher at the site in China, leading to much higher evapotranspiration when compared with Copenhagen.

A large proportion of the 25 species in the study plots were growing mainly or exclusively on steep, south-facing slopes, while other species occurred both on south-facing slopes and in valleys and slopes with different orientation. The growth pattern of the species in the latter group was slow and/or underdeveloped, primarily occurring in the understory (Sjöman et al. 2010). In contrast, Ailanthus altissima, Carpinus turczaninowii, Celtis bungeana, Fraxinus chinensis, Koelreuteria paniculata, Morus mongolica, Ostrya japonica, Quercus aliena var. acuteserrata, Q. baronii, Q. wutaishanica, Sorbus folgneri, Syringa pekinensis, Ulmus glaucescens, and U. pumila were mainly found on steeper parts of south-facing slopes. Here, the species had developed into tall, old trees and were present in the canopy as well as in the understory layer (Table 3). Among the species cited, six, A. altissima, K. paniculata, Q. aliena var. acuteserrata, Q. wutaishanica, S. folgneri, and S. pekinensis, had an annual mean height growth of 20 cm or more (Table 3).

**Case Study 2: Northeast Romania and Moldavia**

Northeast Romania and the Republic of Moldavia have a temperate continental climate, with hot summers, long, cold winters, and distinct seasons. Due to the higher summer temperatures and lower rainfall compared with Western Europe, large forest steppe systems have developed in this area (Breckle 2002). The field studies were carried out in six semi-natural forest reserves. Climate data for the field study areas (Table 2) were obtained from the nearby meteorological stations (Sjöman et al. 2012). In Romania and Moldavia the microclimate had less influence on the study sites due to topographical differences being small. In total, 30 study plots were inventoried, with an allocated area of 1.2 ha, including 1159 trees representing 23 species (Sjöman et al. 2012).

**Evaluation of site conditions and tree growth**

The climate of the area, with cold winters and warm, dry summers, has clear similarities to inner-city environments in the CNE-region (Breckle 2002; Sieghardt et al. 2005). Based
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Table 1. Selected properties of the soil in the study sites. Values shown are the mean of three different sampling depths (after Sjöman et al. 2010 and Sjöman et al. 2012).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Mean clay (%)</th>
<th>Mean silt (%)</th>
<th>Mean humus (%)</th>
<th>Mean pH</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Qinling Mt. (China)</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>53.0</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>6.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Codri (Moldavia)</td>
<td>27.3</td>
<td>54.1</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>6.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rădești (Romania)</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>35.8</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repedea Hill (Romania)</td>
<td>26.8</td>
<td>58.0</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roșcani (Romania)</td>
<td>33.0</td>
<td>58.1</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bădeanu (Romania)</td>
<td>17.8</td>
<td>28.7</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>5.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bursucani (Romania)</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>33.8</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>4.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Mean monthly temperature (°C) and precipitation (mm) at the study sites (after Sjöman et al. 2010 and Sjöman et al. 2012).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Jan</th>
<th>Feb</th>
<th>Mar</th>
<th>Apr</th>
<th>May</th>
<th>June</th>
<th>July</th>
<th>Aug</th>
<th>Sep</th>
<th>Oct</th>
<th>Nov</th>
<th>Dec</th>
<th>Annual mean</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Qinling Mt. (China)</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>23.3</td>
<td>24.3</td>
<td>21.3</td>
<td>16.3</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>M = 12.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Precipitation</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>49.5</td>
<td>82.5</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>181.5</td>
<td>140.5</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>S = 825.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repedea Hill and Roșcani (Romania)</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>16.6</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>20.9</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>M = 10.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Precipitation</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>S = 532</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rădești (Romania)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>20.3</td>
<td>22.6</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>M = 10.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Precipitation</td>
<td>30.7</td>
<td>26.6</td>
<td>23.6</td>
<td>37.4</td>
<td>49.2</td>
<td>66.3</td>
<td>47.3</td>
<td>40.5</td>
<td>38.7</td>
<td>33.4</td>
<td>34.5</td>
<td>32.3</td>
<td>S = 460.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Codri (Moldavia)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>18.1</td>
<td>20.6</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>M = 8.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Precipitation</td>
<td>38.8</td>
<td>34.8</td>
<td>33.8</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>59.4</td>
<td>99.1</td>
<td>83.8</td>
<td>59.8</td>
<td>61.8</td>
<td>33.4</td>
<td>34.5</td>
<td>32.3</td>
<td>S = 637.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bădeana and Bursucani (Romania)</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>18.7</td>
<td>21.2</td>
<td>21.1</td>
<td>16.6</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>M = 9.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Precipitation</td>
<td>23.7</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>24.7</td>
<td>48.9</td>
<td>67.2</td>
<td>46.6</td>
<td>42.4</td>
<td>35.6</td>
<td>35.7</td>
<td>36.2</td>
<td>28.7</td>
<td>26.6</td>
<td>S = 448</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Tree species considered by habitat specialists and located in plots in the two case studies, numbers present, yearly mean increment in height (m) and DBH (cm). The structural position divides the trees into canopy trees/understory trees. The use-experiences of the species in the CNE-region is divided between species, which are (1) all more or less commonly used in urban environments of the region, and (2) those where prior experiences of their performance and plasticity is limited or non-existing for the region (after Sjöman et al. 2010 and Sjöman et al. 2012).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>No. of trees</th>
<th>Yearly mean increment in height (m)</th>
<th>Yearly mean increment in DBH (cm)</th>
<th>Structural position</th>
<th>Use-experiences in the CNE-region</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acer campestre L.</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>68/24</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acer tataricum L.</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>3/89</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ailanthus altissima (Mill.) Swingle.</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>10/84</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carpinus betulus L.</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>38/67</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carpinus orientalis Mill.</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>29/83</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carpinus turczaninowii Hauce.</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>6/9</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Celtis bungeana Blume.</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>10/2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cornus mas L.</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>2/81</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crataegus monogyna Jacq</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0/48</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fraxinus chinensis Roxb.</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>4/4</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fraxinus excelsior L.</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>25/7</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Koelreuteria paniculata Laxm.</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>12/1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morus mongolica (Bur.) Schneid.</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>5/1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ostrya japonica Sarg.</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>4/1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quercus aliena var. acuteserrata Maxim.</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>15/9</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quercus baronii Skan.</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>44/52</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quercus daleschampii Ten.</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>98/7</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quercus frainetto Ten.</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>86/9</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quercus pubescens Wild.</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>124/28</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quercus robur L.</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>31/0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quercus wutaishanica Magr.</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>14/4</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sorbus folgneri (C.K. Schneid) Rehder.</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>2/12</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sorbus torminalis (L.) Crantz</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>4/23</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Syringa pekinensis Rupr.</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>2/4</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tilia tomentosa Moench.</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>121/44</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ulmus glaucescens Franch.</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>23/11</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ulmus pumila L.</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>8/17</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Calculations of potential water stress showed that the sites at Iași had an early negative net water balance in May, while Galați and Bârlad had a lower negative net water balance during the same period (Figure 3). This difference continued throughout June. At Iași and Bârlad, the negative net water balance in July increased much more rapidly, while the trend was less dramatic at Galați. However, in August, Galați experienced a significant al-
teration, with a peak negative net water balance (Figure 3) due to its southerly location with a warmer summer climate and low levels of precipitation (Table 2). In early autumn Galați experienced the highest water deficit, followed by Bărlad and Iași (Figure 3). With its lowest net water balance in July onwards and with no abrupt changes, Constenşti deviated from the other study areas (Figure 3) due to higher precipitation (637.2 mm) and lower mean annual temperature (8.7°C) (Table 2). Codri differed from the other five study areas due to higher precipitation and lower mean annual temperature, whereas the other sites had rather similar water stress status over the season. Rădești had a somewhat more slowly developing negative net water balance early in the season compared with Bădeana, Bursucani, Repedea Hill, and Roscani, but had a much more rapid negative trend in August. In the comparison between the study sites and urban environments in Copenhagen, Codri showed a closer match with park environments, while the other study sites showed a closer match with paved sites (Figure 3; Sjöman et al. 2012).

A total number of 1159 trees, representing 23 species, were found in 30 plots throughout the six different areas (Table 3). The following 13 species had 25 individuals or more occurring in the 30 plots throughout the six different areas (Table 3). The remaining species were present in high numbers in the canopy layer, while Acer campestre, Carpinus betulus, C. orientalis, Cornus mas, Crataegus monogyna, Fraxinus excelsior, Quercus dalechampii, Q. frainetto, Q. pubescens, Q. robur, Sorbus torminalis, and Tilia tomentosa were all more or less commonly used in urban environments of the region, mainly as park trees (e.g., Bengtsson 1998; Forrest 2006), and are also available from tree nurseries in Europe (e.g., Lorenz von Ehren 2004; Lappen 2007; Bruns 2009). For Carpinus orientalis, C. turczaninowii, Celtis bungeana, Fraxinus chinensis, Morus mongolica, Ostrya japonica, Quercus aliena var. actuteserrata, Q. baronii, Q. dalechampii, Q. pubescens, Q. wutaishanica, Sorbus folgneri, Ostrya pekinensis, Ulmus glaucescens, and U. pumila prior experience of the performance and plasticity is limited or nonexistent for the CNE-region (Nitzelius 1958; Bengtsson 1998).

In the selection model presented here, two groups of species are discernible. Group 1 comprises species already in use in the urban environment where prior knowledge and experience of pest resistance, propagation issues, and wood stability already exists. However, it is essential to use the appropriate genotypes in order to select specimens with the properties identified at study sites (Jones et al. 2001; Mijnsbrugge et al. 2010). However, even if it is necessary to re-evaluate these well-known species (genotypes), collected from the study sites, their introduction will be a rather quick procedure due to the prior knowledge of the same species already used in the urban setting.

Group 2 includes species for which prior experience of performance in urban environments is limited or nonexistent in the CNE-region. Evaluation of these 15 tree species identified in the case studies in China, Romania, and Moldavia would be a much more time-consuming procedure before any full-scale trials could be performed in urban settings. The offspring would have to be planted in controlled field trials, for further evaluation, in order to obtain knowledge and experience concerning hardiness, growth and development, wood stability, pest resistance, invasiveness risks, and propagation issues. Species must then be tested in full-scale trials in urban environments of the CNE-region.

The obvious advantage of studying habitats and evaluating well-known species is that the subsequent selection process is much shorter and this tree stock can be introduced immediately once proper genotypes are available and tested. This process also considers trees that are currently used exclusively in park environments but could possibly be transferred into street environments. However, it is equally important to have long-term approaches where many new and untested species and genera can be identified in order to increase the diversity of
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the urban tree population. Subsequent selection and searches for complementary tree species do not need to exclusively address new and untested species. Further information on species already used in the urban landscape, taken from their natural habitat, will increase the current body of knowledge and illustrate new use-functions for these well-known species.

The approaches in evaluating species which are more or less commonly used in urban environments of the CNE-region and those where prior experiences of their performance and plasticity is limited or non-existing for the region were taken into consideration when selecting the different case study areas. The mountains of China host an impressive richness of species (Ying and Boufford 1998) and the potential to detect a large number of species and genera never used in cultivation before is large. Of 14 tree species identified in China, 12 have never been grown or grown to a very limited extent in the CNE-region. The Romania and Moldavia cases were chosen on the basis that many well-known species occur in the region but knowledge about their site plasticity is sparse. Only three of 13 species were associated with a lack of prior knowledge on their performance (Bean 1980). Of the 27 tree species identified, only *Acer campestre*, *Caprinus betulus*, *Crataegus monogyna*, and *Fraxinus excelsior* are considered much used or used to some extent in northern Europe (Sebő et al. 2005). Thus, the 23 tree species identified in those field studies can be potential supplements for diversification of the urban tree population in the CNE-region. Since the two case study areas differ from each other in terms of the number of individuals present of potential species, the analysis of the findings also differed between the two case studies. The Qinling Mountains host a large diversity of tree species (Ying and Boufford 1998) and few of each species are present in the forest stands, so the amount of rare species scattered in the forest systems is large. The results from the China study were therefore interpreted using a more qualitative approach (Sjöman et al. 2010). A quantitative approach was possible in the case study in Romania and Moldavia, since the forests there included more species that were present at the sites in large numbers and rare species were few (Sjöman et al. 2012).

Distinguishing between species with their main position in the canopy layer and those in the understorey layer makes it possible to pinpoint a particular use potential. Trees in the canopy layer modify the wind, humidity and temperature microclimate for species in the understorey layer. Canopy species, on the other hand, suffer from much higher transpiration due to their exposure to wind and warmer temperatures (Oliver and Larson 1996). In the two case study trees species with their main position in the canopy layer were *A. altissima*, *Celtis bungeana*, *Fraxinus excelsior*, *Koelreuteria paniculata*, *Morus mongolica*, *Ostrya japonica*, *Quercus aliena* var. *acuteserrata*, *Q. dalechampii*, *Q. frainetto*, *Q. pubescens*, *Q. robur*, and *Q. wutaishanica* (Sjöman et al. 2010; Sjöman et al. 2012). Thus, these species experienced a more stressful environment, with much higher evapotranspiration rates compared with the species in the following structure. This distribution indicates that species only or mainly existing in the canopy layer in mature forest stands have difficulties in germinating and developing successfully under shading tree crowns (Oliver and Larson 1996; Gurevitch et al. 2002). These species have great use potential in warm and sun-exposed sites, such as town squares and courtyards. *Acer campestre*, *Caprinus betulus*, *Caprinus orientalis*, *C. turczaninowii*, *Fraxinus chinensis*, *Quercus baronii*, *Syringa pekinesis*, *Tilia tomentosa*, and *Ulmus glaucescens* had individuals in both the canopy layer and the understorey layer (Table 3). Species capable of developing successfully in both the canopy and understorey layer may be useful in e.g. street plantations, where the light and temperature conditions can differ greatly between the two sides of the street (Sieghardt et al. 2005). Moreover, *Acer tataricum*, *Cornus mas*, *Crataegus monogyna*, *Sorbus folgneri*, *Sorbus torminalis*, and *Ulmus pumila* were all found growing primarily in the understorey layer and can be useful either in warm courtyards and squares that are shaded for most of the day, or as understory vegetation underneath existing trees, as with paved sites (Sjöman et al. 2010; Sjöman et al. 2012). However, these latter species can probably tolerate sunnier and hence drier and warmer conditions, since they all exist in forest edge zones, but inside the forest stands they cannot compete with other much taller species and have therefore developed a tolerance for more shaded conditions.

This study focused on trees that in their natural sites are exposed to warm and dry growth conditions, since water stress is argued to be the main constraint for tree growth and health in urban environments (e.g., Craul 1999; Hoff 2001; Sieghardt et al. 2005; Nielsen et al. 2007; Roloff et al. 2009). It is important to bear in mind that this process with dendroecological habitat studies in order to identify potential urban trees is just the first step in the selection process. Further research is necessary in order to evaluate the species tolerance towards warm and periodically dry growth conditions in another geographical area and towards other stressors, such as de-icing substrates or air pollution. Nevertheless, this approach constitutes a faster and more effective route, since subsequent selection work can focus on species with high potential for the purpose instead of testing species randomly. Dendroecological studies, as presented in this paper, contribute to an ecological understanding that provides for a much wider knowledge base in the selection process, thus helping to evaluate the reaction, tolerance, and performance of different tree species to different stressors. Furthermore, dendroecological studies provide valuable guidance regarding the use potential of species, which can be of importance in their subsequent evaluation in full-scale plantations in urban environments.

It is also important to note the invasiveness risks of species included in these types of studies. Relevant research on these aspects in parallel with ongoing selection work can help prevent the introduction of invasive species and genotypes. Dendroecological studies in natural habitats, as presented in this text, can also provide some guidance on this issue. By identifying the ecological strategies, including disposal and reproductive traits of species and genotypes in their natural settings, it is possible to determine their potential to escape from cultivation and become an aggressive competitor in natural habitats in another region (Pyšek and Richardson 2007; Pyšek et al. 2009).
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Résumé. Une diversité élevée en espèces et en genres ainsi qu’une grande capacité d’adaptation sont deux facteurs importants afin de tenter d’obtenir une population d’arbres urbains soutenue et en santé. Cet article présente et discute d’une procédure de sélection pour l’identification d’arbres adaptés aux environnements urbains. La procédure est basée sur les études dendrochronologiques d’arbres dans les habitats naturels avec des conditions de site et de climat similaires à celles des environnements urbains. En étudiant les arbres au sein de ces habitats, des informations de première main peuvent être obtenues sur la croissance et la performance pour une grande variété d’espèces et de génotypes. Deux études sur le terrain ont été menées, une dans le Centre de la Chine et une autre dans le Nord-est de la Roumanie et la République voisine de Moldavie avec pour objectif d’identifier les espèces d’arbres et les génotypes adaptés à l’intérieur des environnements urbains des parties septentrionales de l’Europe Centrale et des parties voisines plus tempérées du Nord de l’Europe. Au total, 27 espèces d’arbres ont été identifiées comme « spécialistes » des habitats périodiquement chauds et secs. Parmi ces espèces d’arbres, seulement quatre sont couramment employées ou encore sont employées à une assez large échelle dans le Nord de l’Europe, ce qui signifie que les 23 autres espèces identifiées dans ces études de cas pourraient devenir des alternatives potentielles pour une diversification des populations d’arbres urbains.


Resumen. La alta diversidad de géneros y especies y la adaptación al sitio son dos factores importantes en la consecución de una población de árboles urbanos sanos y sostenibles. Este documento presenta y explica un procedimiento de selección para la identificación de árboles adaptados a ambientes de interior en la ciudad. El procedimiento se basa en estudios dendroecológicos de árboles en hábitats naturales, con similitudes en condiciones de clima y sitio como entornos de interior de la ciudad. Mediante el estudio de árboles en estos hábitats puede obtenerse información de primera mano sobre el crecimiento y el rendimiento de una amplia gama de especies y genotipos. Se realizaron dos estudios de campo, uno en China central y otro en el noreste de Rumania y la República adyacente de Moldavia, con el objetivo de identificar especies y genotipos adaptados a entornos de interior de la ciudad en la parte norte de Europa central y las partes adyacentes del norte de Europa. En total, se identificaron 27 especies de árboles como especialistas para un hábitat periódicamente seco y cálido. De estas especies de árboles, solo cuatro actualmente son utilizados con frecuencia o utilizados en cierta medida en el norte de Europa, lo que significa que otras 23 especies arbóreas identificadas en los estudios de casos pueden ser posibles suplementos para la diversificación de las poblaciones de árboles urbanos.