BIOLGOGY AND MANAGEMENT OF *ALLOKERMES KINGII* (HEMIPTERA: KERMESIDAE) ON OAK TREES (*QUERCUS* SPP.)

By Jay Cee L. Turner¹ and Eileen A. Buss²

Abstract. The northern red-oak kermes scale, *Allokermes kingii* (Cockerell), is a native, gall-like scale insect found on oak trees (*Quercus* spp.) in the United States. Its feeding causes branch dieback, flagging, reduced growth rates, and occasionally tree death. *Allokermes kingii* has one generation a year throughout most of the United States, but we found two generations a year in Florida. It also infests *Q. geminata* Small and *Q. virginiana* Miller, which represent new host records. The insecticides tested (acephate, bifenthrin, imidacloprid, horticultural oil, and combined acephate and oil) appeared to suppress the nymphal population, but none caused >42% mortality. Very few natural enemies occurred on the study trees, and were thus considered ineffective at reducing the scale population at this site.
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Kermes scales (Hemiptera: Kermesidae) are native, gall-like insects that primarily infest oak trees (Fagaceae: *Quercus* spp.) in the eastern and midwestern United States (Kosztarab 1996; Scalenet 2004). Their feeding often results in branch dieback or reduced tree growth rates (Raven 1983; Vranjic 1997), and their honeydew secretions result in sooty mold growth on branches. Severely infested trees may die if populations are not suppressed (pers. obs.).

The most economically damaging kermes scales are *Allokermes cueroensis* (Cockerell), *A. galliformis* (Riley), and *A. kingii* (Cockerell) (Kosztarab 1996). Of these species, however, only the life cycle of *A. kingii* has been studied (Hamon et al. 1976), and little is known about the biology of other scales in the family Kermesidae (Kosztarab 1996). Large (4 to 5 mm [0.16 to 0.2 in.]), round, mottled-brown females feed along branches, in tree wounds, at the base of buds, and at the base of leaf petioles (Hamon et al. 1976). Tiny (1 mm [0.04 in.] long), white, oval males occur in bark crevices along tree stems. In Virginia, *A. kingii* has one generation each year (univoltine).

Oaks are commonly planted street trees in the United States, and live oak (*Q. virginiana* Miller) is a particularly popular species in Florida (Harms 1990). Infestations of *A. kingii* appeared to be developing faster on live oaks in Florida nurseries and urban landscapes than expected for a univoltine species, and management based on the life cycle determined in Virginia was ineffective. Thus, we examined the life cycle and insecticidal control of *A. kingii* on street trees in central Florida.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Site

Eight oak trees (two sand live oaks (*Q. geminata* Small) and six live oaks (*Q. virginiana* Miller)) with natural infestations of *A. kingii* in Clearwater, Florida (Pinellas County), U.S., were examined from May 2002 to August 2003. The trees were located in a parking lot about 300 m (328 yd) from the Gulf Coast. On 10 May 2002 and 29 August 2003, tree height was measured using a clinometer, and diameter at breast height (dbh, 1.4 m [55.1 in.]) and crown diameter were measured with a centimeter cloth measuring tape.

Life Cycle

To determine the life cycle of *A. kingii*, five branches (20 to 26 cm [8 to 10 in.] long), approximately 1.8 to 3.7 m (5.9 to 12.2 ft) off the ground, were cut from each tree every 2 to 3 weeks from 6 June 2002 to 29 August 2003. Branches were transported to the laboratory in a cooler, frozen, and later examined with a dissecting binocular microscope (10× to 20×). The number of live first and second instars and live female adults on each branch was counted and totaled by life stage for each tree. Male *A. kingii* were primarily located on tree trunks, rather than branches, and were thus not examined in this study.

Insecticide Test

Insecticide applications were targeted against the first and early second instars of the first generation *A. kingii*. Six treatments were assigned to trees using a randomized complete block design, with five replicates (trees). Thirty oak trees naturally infested with *A. kingii* in Clearwater, Florida, were selected (height: 5.5 ± 1.0 m [18.2 ± 3.3 ft]; dbh: 22.8 ± 3.3 cm [9.0 ± 1.3 in.]). Trees were separated by
4.3 to 5.5 m (14.2 to 18.2 ft), and branches were not interconnected. Treatments included label rates (listed in Table 1) of acephate (Orthene TT&O 97®, Valent USA Corp., Walnut Creek, CA), bifenthrin (Talstar Flowable®, FMC, Philadelphia, PA), imidacloprid (Merit 75 WP®, Bayer Environmental Science, Montvale, NJ), horticultural oil (Sunspray Ultra-Fine®, Philadelphia, PA), horticultural oil plus acephate, and an untreated control. Adjuvant was not mixed with the insecticides. An ISA Certified Arborist applied the insecticides on 1 and 2 May 2003. Acephate, bifenthrin, horticultural oil, and horticultural oil + acephate were applied as foliar sprays using a hydraulic sprayer (pressure: 25 mL/m² [1 oz/ft²]) with a 756 L (200 gal) agitating tank and a single, handheld sprayer. Trees were sprayed until runoff. For a soil drench, imidacloprid was sprayed with an 18.9 L (5 gal) Solo backpack sprayer within a tree’s drip line, until the soil was evenly saturated. Equipment was triple-rinsed between treatments. Air temperature, relative humidity, wind speed, and cloud conditions were noted at application.

Treatment efficacy was evaluated by collecting four branches from each tree and counting the total number of live and dead first and second instar *A. kingii*. One branch (20 to 26 cm [8 to 10 in.] long) was randomly cut from each of the four cardinal points of each tree, approximately 1.8 to 3.7 m (5.9 to 12.2 ft) up from the ground on 24 April (pretreatment), 9 May (1 week after treatment, WAT), 22 May (3 WAT), 5 June (5 WAT), and 19 June (7 WAT) 2003. The branches were frozen, and scales were later examined with a dissecting binocular microscope. First and early second instars that survived the treatments were salmon-colored. However, insecticide-killed nymphs were slightly brown and shriveled. A waxy secretion normally coats the derm of healthy second instars, but those affected by insecticides had black spots on the wax layer.

**Statistical Analysis**

The mean number of healthy first and second instar *A. kingii* per four-branch sample was calculated using a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) (*P* < 0.05), and treatments were compared to the control using a Dunnett’s test on each date (JMP®, SAS Institute 2001). The proportion of scale mortality was calculated by dividing the total number of dead nymphs by the total number of live and dead nymphs for each branch on each date. Proportions were arc-sine square root-transformed, analyzed with an ANOVA, and, if statistically significant, treatments were compared to the control using a Dunnett’s test on each date (JMP®, SAS Institute 2001).

**RESULTS**

**Study Site**

This study was conducted on *Q. geminata* and *Q. virginiana*, which represent new host records for *A. kingii*. Tree height on 10 May 2002 was 5.9 ± 0.7 m (19.5 ± 2.3 ft), dbh was 51.7 ± 14.3 cm (20.4 ± 5.6 in.), and the crown diameter was 9.4 ± 1.3 m (31.0 ± 4.3 ft). Tree height on 29 August 2003 was 6.4 ± 1.0 m (21.1 ± 3.3 ft), dbh was 52.4 ± 14.8 cm (20.6 ± 5.8 in.), and crown diameter was 10.3 ± 2.2 m (34.0 ± 7.3 ft). From 6 June 2002 to 29 August 2003, we found 728.6 ± 399.1 (range, 166 to 1,755) *A. kingii*, all life stages combined, on 1,120 main branches (22.9 ± 2.3 cm [9.0 ± 0.9 in.]) and 781.6 ± 309.2 (range, 371 to 1,586) on lateral shoots (4.2 ± 1.0 cm [1.7 ± 0.4 in.]). The scale’s life cycle did not appear to vary depending on the host tree species.

**Life Cycle**

*Allokermes kingii* has two generations each year in Clearwater, Florida (Figure 1). Salmon-colored crawlers emerged from late May to the first week of August in 2002. Females migrated to the larger branches, while males went onto the tree stem. Crawlers began to molt into second instars by mid-July. At this time, second instar females migrated to tree wounds or new growth, often near new leaf petioles, became sessile, and secreted a hard, waxy covering over themselves. Females molted into adults from late August to mid-December. A third instar was not observed in females in this study. Eggs were laid in female brood chambers and were present from early September to mid-December.

Second-generation crawlers began emerging in mid-September and some molted into second instars by mid-October. First and second instar nymphs overwintered on the trees. By late April to early May, female *A. kingii* molted into mature adults. Second-generation adult female *A. kingii* produced eggs until mid-June.

**Figure 1. Seasonal life history of Allokermes kingii in Clearwater, Florida.**
Very few natural enemies were found attacking any of the *Allokermes kingii* life stages. Generalist predators included ladybird beetles (*Chilocus cacti* (L.), *Harmonia axyridis* (Pallas)), green lacewings (*Chrysoperla* sp.), and predatory caterpillars (*Holocerca coccivorella* (Chambers), *Euclemensia bassestella* (Clemens), *Laetilia* spp., and *Pyroderces* sp.). The only parasitoid recovered was *Metaphycus* sp. Ants (*Pheidole dentata* (Mayr)) tended *A. kingii* and were observed foraging on the scale honeydew.

### Insecticide Study

From the beginning to the end of the applications on 1 and 2 May 2003, the air temperature ranged from 26°C to 34.2°C (78.8°F to 93.6°F), relative humidity ranged from 76% to 100%, and wind speed ranged from 1.2 to 4.3 kph (0.7 to 2.7 mph). Cloud conditions ranged from partly cloudy to overcast. About 1.3 cm (0.5 in.) of rain fell lightly for 15 min after the horticultural oil, acephate, and oil plus acephate applications on 1 May 2003. The imidacloprid soil drench was applied after the rain. The foliar bifenthrin spray was applied on 2 May because the wind speed increased to >4 kph (2.5 mph) on 1 May.

None of the insecticides tested provided consistent and satisfactory (>80% mortality) control of *A. kingii* nymphs (Table 1). Between 17% and 38% of the nymphs examined were dead within 1 week after treatment, but that number did not dramatically increase over time. Statistically more nymphs were dead from the acephate (33%) and horticultural oil (27%) treatments 3 WAT, compared to the control. However, the most mortality occurred 5 WAT, when nearly 42% of the nymphs were dead from the combined acephate and oil treatment.

### Discussion

*Allokermes kingii* has one generation a year throughout most of its North American range (Hamon et al. 1976), but it is now known to have two generations a year in Florida. In Virginia, females lay eggs (1,390 to 5,789 eggs per female) from July to August, eggs hatch from September to November, and the first instars are dormant until April. Then both female and male nymphs molt and migrate farther onto branches or the base of tree stems, respectively. Adults are present by mid-June in Virginia. The life cycle is more complex in Florida, with live adults present from April to December, first instars present from May to July and again from September to February, and second instars from July to September and October to April. The more rapid development of *A. kingii* in Florida is likely due to the warmer climate, longer growing season, and multiple-flushing growth habits of *Q. virginiana* and *Q. virginiana*. Hosts include *Q. borealis* (L.), *Q. coccinea* (Muenchhausen), *Q. ilicifolia* (Wagenheim), *Q. imbricaria* (Michaux), *Q. laurifolia* (Michaux), *Q. phellos* (L.), *Q. rubra* (L.), and *Q. velutina* (Lamarck) (Kosztarab 1996), and now also *Q. geminata* and *Q. virginiana*.

An integrated pest management program has not yet been established for *A. kingii*. Its natural enemy complex does not appear to adequately suppress populations, and environmental factors contributing to its

### Table 1. Mean (±SEM) percentage of dead first and second instar *Allokermes kingii* per four-branch sample.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Rate</th>
<th>9 May (1 WAT)</th>
<th>22 May (3 WAT)</th>
<th>5 June (5 WAT)</th>
<th>19 June (7 WAT)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>5.7 ± 3.8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12.3 ± 5.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acephate</td>
<td>226.8 g/378 L (8 oz/100 gal)</td>
<td>31.7 ± 4.1</td>
<td>32.7 ± 5.2*</td>
<td>11.0 ± 3.2</td>
<td>18.3 ± 6.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bifenthrin</td>
<td>2.3 L/378 L (0.63 gal/100 gal)</td>
<td>24.7 ± 2.6</td>
<td>16.0 ± 6.7</td>
<td>12.0 ± 5.1</td>
<td>9.7 ± 2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Horticultural oil</td>
<td>7.6 L/378 L (2.0 gal/100 gal)</td>
<td>37.7 ± 11.1</td>
<td>26.7 ± 9.0*</td>
<td>15.8 ± 3.0</td>
<td>21.7 ± 9.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imidacloprid</td>
<td>5.7 g/7.56 L (0.2 oz/2.0 gal)</td>
<td>17.3 ± 5.8</td>
<td>11.3 ± 5.7</td>
<td>20.1 ± 6.5</td>
<td>24.2 ± 3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil + acephate</td>
<td>7.6 L oil + 226.8 g acephate/378 L (2.0 gal + 8 oz/100 gal)</td>
<td>23.6 ± 10.7</td>
<td>13.2 ± 8.9</td>
<td>41.7 ± 19.3*</td>
<td>15.5 ± 12.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Means within a column followed by an asterisk are significantly different from the control (Dunnett’s test) at P < 0.05.*
population outbreaks are unknown. Most scales usually disperse as crawlers and then settle on a host plant, but *A. kingii* nymphs migrate twice, which may increase the chance of wind dispersal within an area. At high densities, more than one female may feed at the base of a petiole (Hamon et al. 1976) or along a branch, which increases the risk of branch dieback. However, exact damage thresholds have not been defined.

We were unable to achieve consistent, satisfactory control from the insecticide test using horticultural oil, acephate, bifenthrin, or imidacloprid, although the acephate and oil combination appeared the most promising of those treatments. Repeat applications may be needed, given the extended nymphal activity period. The systemic treatment of imidacloprid should have provided greater control of *A. kingii* if it feeds in the phloem (Salvatore 1997), but the exact placement of the stylet in the plant tissue is unclear. It is also possible that soil or trunk injections with imidacloprid could provide greater control, or that more time was needed for imidacloprid to reach its maximum efficacy (Sclar and Cranshaw 1996). Acephate may have been more effective because it is more soluble than imidacloprid, allowing more insecticide to move into nonvascular plant tissue (Rebek and Sadof 2003). Pyrethroid insecticides may not be viable scale control products because of their negative impacts on natural enemies and tendency to cause secondary pest outbreaks (Clarke et al. 1992).

### Table 2. Mean (± SEM) number of healthy first and second instar *Allokermes kingii* per four-branch sample.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Rate (product/water)</th>
<th>24 April (1 WAT)</th>
<th>9 May (2 WAT)</th>
<th>22 May (3 WAT)</th>
<th>5 June (5 WAT)</th>
<th>19 June (7 WAT)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>69.0 ± 32.1</td>
<td>66.6 ± 24.0</td>
<td>41.2 ± 8.4</td>
<td>43.2 ± 13.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acephate</td>
<td>226.8 g/378 L (8 oz/100 gal)</td>
<td>100.0 ± 54.8</td>
<td>26.2 ± 8.3</td>
<td>13.4 ± 2.4</td>
<td>40.6 ± 17.3</td>
<td>41.2 ± 21.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bifenthrin</td>
<td>2.3 L/378 L (0.63 gal/100 gal)</td>
<td>85.0 ± 24.0</td>
<td>44.4 ± 22.2</td>
<td>16.8 ± 7.7</td>
<td>70.8 ± 40.9</td>
<td>308.2 ± 118.7*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Horticultural oil</td>
<td>7.6 L/378 L (2.0 gal/100 gal)</td>
<td>94.0 ± 25.9</td>
<td>12.6 ± 4.3</td>
<td>13.0 ± 4.9</td>
<td>25.6 ± 8.5</td>
<td>23.6 ± 6.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imidacloprid</td>
<td>5.7 g/7.56 L (0.2 oz/2.0 gal)</td>
<td>117.8 ± 49.6</td>
<td>71.6 ± 22.5</td>
<td>56.0 ± 14.2</td>
<td>52.4 ± 37.1</td>
<td>57.6 ± 27.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil + acephate</td>
<td>7.6 L oil + 226.8 g acephate/378 L (2.0 gal + 8 oz/100gal)</td>
<td>49.0 ± 27.5</td>
<td>5.2 ± 2.8</td>
<td>7.8 ± 3.0*</td>
<td>2.6 ± 1.5</td>
<td>14.2 ± 12.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Means within a column followed by an asterisk are significantly different from the control (Dunnett’s test) at *P* < 0.05.
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