Abstract. One of the most common locations for the aboveground portion of a tree to fail is at the junction of two or more codominant stems. Due to the frequency of failures at this point, a study was undertaken to get a better understanding of the mechanical strength of this point and to determine if included bark reduces the strength of the union. Eighty-four codominant stems were removed from 26 felled maple trees. These crotches were securely anchored and split apart using measured force. Breaking force varied from 64 to 2,363 kg. The regression line produced from the comparison of stem diameter and force required for breaking the union when there was no included bark was Force = Diameter * 613 – 1388, \( r^2 = 0.92 \). When only those unions with included bark were analyzed, the regression line was Force = Diameter * 537 – 1285, \( r^2 = 0.76 \). There was a significant difference between the regression lines (\( p < 0.05 \)). Codominant stems that have bark trapped in the union are significantly weaker than those that do not have bark included. The differences appear to be greater with smaller-diameter stems than with larger stems.
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One of the most common locations for the aboveground portion of a tree to fail is at the junction of two or more codominant stems. Matheny and Clark (1994) state that codominant stems with included bark do not form connective tissues between stems and are prone to failure. In earlier studies, there were indications that included bark did make these junctions weaker (Smiley et al. 2000). Due to the frequency of failures at this point, this study was undertaken to get a better understanding of the mechanical strength of this point and to determine if included bark reduces the strength of the union.

Materials and Methods
Twenty-six red maple (*Acer rubrum* L.) trees were harvested between June 1999 and July 2001 at the Bartlett Tree Research Laboratories in Charlotte, North Carolina, U.S. Eighty-four codominant stems were removed from the felled trees, leaving at least 45 cm of stem on either side of the crotch. Crotches were tested within 3 days of harvest to avoid drying of the wood. Stem diameter was measured 30 cm below and above the crotch. Diameters ranged from 4.9 to 23.4 cm.

The crotches were fastened to a large tree trunk using chains 30 cm above and below the crotch (Figure 1). A snatch block was fastened to the nonanchored stem at 30 cm above the crotch. A Dillon 1,818 kg peak reading mechanical dynamometer (Weight-Tronix, Fairmont, MN) was chained to a second tree and served as an anchor point for a steel cable that ran through the snatch block to an electric winch. The cables from the tree to the snatch block and from the snatch block to the winch were nearly parallel and remained the same throughout the trial. The winch was activated until the crotch broke. The peak reading on the dynamometer was recorded and multiplied by two to derive the force required to break the crotch.
Regression lines were compared for slope and Y-intercept using the general linear test approach (Neter and Wasserman 1974).

**RESULTS**

Stem breakage occurred in consistent patterns. The failure occurred at the junction between the codominant stems and separated the two stems evenly (Figure 2). If included bark was present, the break always exposed it. The amount of included bark varied greatly among samples.

Breaking force varied from 64 to 2,363 kg (Figure 3). The regression line produced from the comparison of stem diameter and force required for breaking the union when there was no included bark was Force = Diameter * 613 – 1388. The $r^2$ value was 0.92. When only those unions with included bark were analyzed, the regression line was Force = Diameter * 537 – 1285. The $r^2$ value was 0.76. There was a significant difference between the regression lines ($p < 0.05$).

As an example of the regression, a crotch 10 cm in diameter breaks at 392 and 484 kg for the included bark samples versus the nonincluded bark, respectively. For 15 cm diameter crotches, the break points are 880 and 1,040 kg, respectively; for 25 cm crotches, they are 1,857 and 2,155 kg, respectively.

**DISCUSSION**

Codominant stems that have bark trapped in the union are significantly weaker than those that do not have bark included. The differences appear to be greater with smaller-diameter stems than with larger stems. Using results from the regression analysis at 10 and 25 cm, the 10 cm stems are almost 20% weaker when bark is present. At 25 cm, included bark stems are only 14% weaker than nonincluded bark unions.

Due to the relatively low reduction in breaking strength at larger diameters, all codominant stem junctions should be considered weak. If trees with codominant stems have a target present that could be damaged if failure occurs, remedial treatments should be applied.
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Résumé. Une des plus fréquentes zones où la portion aérienne d’un arbre peut se briser est le point de jonction entre deux ou plusieurs branches codominantes. En raison de la fréquence de bris à cet endroit, une étude a été menée afin de mieux comprendre le mécanisme des forces à ce niveau et pour déterminer si l’écorce incluse diminue la résistance au point de jonction. Quatre-vingt-huit branches codominantes ont été recueillies d’érables tombés au sol. Ces fourches ont été solide ment ancrées et fendues par la suite en y exerçant une force. La force de bris variait de 64 à 2363 kg. La droite de régression produite en regard de la comparaison entre le diamètre de la branche et la force requise pour briser la fourche, et ce lorsqu’il n’y avait pas d’écorce incluse, était: Force = Diamètre × 613 – 1388, $r^2 = 0.92$. Lorsque seules les fourches avec écorce incluse étaient analysées, la droite de régression était: Force = Diamètre × 537 – 1285, $r^2 = 0.76$. Il y avait une différence significative entre les deux droites de régression ($p < 0.05$). Les branches codominante qui ont de l’écorce prise au niveau du point de jonction sont significativement plus faibles que celles qui n’ont pas d’écorce incluse. Les différences apparaissent être plus grandes pour des branches de plus faibles diamètres que celles dont le diamètre est plus élevé.

Resumen. Uno de los lugares para que falle la porción superior de un árbol es la unión de dos o más ramas codominantes. Debido a la frecuencia de fallas en este punto, se llevó a cabo un estudio para lograr un mejor entendimiento de la resistencia mecánica en este punto y para determinar si la corteza incluida reduce la fuerza de la unión. Se removieron ochenta y cuatro tallos codominantes de árboles derribados de maple. Estas horquillas fueron ancladas y separadas con el uso de una fuerza medida. La fuerza de ruptura varió de 64 a 2363 Kg. La regresión lineal producida de la comparación del diámetro del tronco y la fuerza requerida para el rompimiento de la unión cuando no había corteza incluida fue: Fuerza = Diámetro $\times$ 613 – 1388, $r^2 = 0.92$. Cuando se analizaron solamente uniones con corteza incluida, la regresión lineal fue: Fuerza = Diámetro $\times$ 537 – 1285, $r^2 = 0.76$. Hubo una diferencia significativa entre las líneas de regresión ($p < 0.05$) Los tallos codominantes que tienen corteza atrapada en su unión son significativamente más débiles que los que no tienen corteza incluida. Las diferencias parecen ser mayores con tallos de diámetro pequeños que con grandes tallos.